

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,101	04/11/2005	Janne Aaltonen	006559,00006	2158
22907 BANNER & V	7590 03/16/2010 VITCOFF, LTD.	EXAMINER		
1100 13th STREET, N.W.			PHUNG, LUAT	
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20005-4051	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2464	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/531,101	AALTONEN, JANNE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LUAT PHUNG	2464		
	10/531,101 Examiner	10/531,101 AALTONEN, JANNE <b>Examiner</b> Art Unit	

	LUAT PHUNG	2464	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one or the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 G periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 2_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on; (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory priorid for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTH'S OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS 3. An the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, in (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	ter form for appeal by materially red		ne issues for
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		octod ciairris.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOI -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		p.i.a.ii. / iii.a.ii. / i	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,	•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
<ul> <li>10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but</li> </ul>		•	
12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13.  Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Ricky Ngo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2464	/Luat Phung/ Examiner, Art Unit 2464		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment, which amends independent claims 17 and 23, inter alia, cites limitations that have not been previously presented ("a data transfer rate experienced by the host increases as further hosts are included in the goup through sharing of bandwidth allocated to the host and the further hosts on a network on which the first file delivery transmission occurs" added into claim 17, and similar limitation added to claim 23) and now alters the scope of the claims; this new issue will require further search and reconsideration.

In response to Applicant's argument that Virgile does not suggest the sharing of bandwidth or the dependence of data transfer rate on group membership, it is noted that Virgile discloses wherein each host in the group is allocated an amount of bandwidth on a network on which the first file delivery transmission occurs (abstract, transmitting packets to hosts belonging to a multicast group/subnetwork/segment lea seach host in the group in a network on which transmission occurs, each host inherently having been allocated resources or bandwidth to receive multicast group, i.e., hosts in the multicast group, bandwidth of multiple hosts in the group (col. 6, lines 1+; transmitting multicast message) to increase a data transfer rate experienced by the hosts in the group (col. 5, lines 2+; transmitting multicast message) to increase a data transfer rate experienced by the hosts in the group (col. 5, lines 2+; preventing hosts outside of the group from originating or receiving multicast traffic, causing performance degradation; col. 6, lines 1+; conserving bandwidth; it would have been obvious to a skilled partisan that prevention of performance degradation and bandwidth conservation result in having more bandwidth available for communication, and accordingly having increased data transfer rate).